

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,678	21,678 06/25/2001 Thomas P. Gle		G0064	9751	
. 7	590 03/07/2003				
Serge J. Hodgson Gunnison, McKay & Hodgson, L.L.P. Suite 220 1900 Garden Road			EXAMINER		
			DINH, TUAN T		
Monterey, CA	93940		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827		
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	7	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/891,678		GLENN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tuan T Dinh		2827			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication	n appears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF T  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma  - If the period for reply specified aboo  - If NO period for reply is specified a  - Failure to reply within the set or ext  - Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. Set	HIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howev n. a reply within the statutory minin eriod will apply and will expire SI statute. cause the application to I	er, may a reply be tim- num of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from to	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on	<u>24 September 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>	2b)□	This action is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application closed in accordance  Disposition of Claims	n is in condition for all e with the practice ur	llowance except for for nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1	mal matters, pro 935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are	pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are	e allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are sul Application Papers	oject to restriction and	d/or election requireme	nt.				
9) The specification is ob-	jected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed o	n is/are: a)□ a	accepted or b) objected	d to by the Exan	niner.			
Applicant may not rec	uest that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing	correction filed on _	is: a)∏ approved	l b)∐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected	drawings are required	in reply to this Office action	on.				
12) The oath or declaration	n is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 11	9 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is n	nade of a claim for for	reign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the o application * See the attached detai	from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17	'.2(a)).	d in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statement	Drawing Review (PTO-948	) 5) T N		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/891,678

Art Unit: 2827

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-13, and 19-27, drawn to a package, classified in class 257, subclass 789.
  - II. Claims 14-28, drawn to a package, classified in class 361, subclass 760.
  - III. Claims 28-32, drawn to a method, classified in class 29, subclass 841.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions III and I-II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the method can be done in vary different processes, for example: injecting instead of squeezing a flow able material between a structure and a substrate.
- 3. Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility of invention I such as an electronic package, chip or die being made without an excess encapsulant of a flowable material. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/891,678 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I Figure 1.

Specie II Figure 3.

Specie III Figure 4.

Specie IV Figure 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims are not generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/891,678

Art Unit: 2827

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,678

Art Unit: 2827

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

TD

February 25, 2003

KAMAND CUNEO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800